# Generalized Radon transform inversions for reflectivity in anisotropic elastic media

To cite this article: Maarten V de Hoop and Norman Bleistein 1997 Inverse Problems 13 669

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

# **Related content**

- Migration/inversion: think image point coordinates, process in acquisition surface coordinates
   Norman Bleistein, Yu Zhang, Sheng Xu et al.
- <u>A wave-equation-based Kirchhoff operator</u> Fons ten Kroode
- <u>The seismic reflection inverse problem</u> W W Symes

# **Recent citations**

- <u>Shot-record extended model domain</u> preconditioners for least-squares migration Nasser Kazemi
- <u>Higher-order Hamilton–Jacobi perturbation</u> theory for anisotropic heterogeneous media: dynamic ray tracing in Cartesian <u>coordinates</u> Einar Iversen *et al*
- <u>Angle-domain inverse scattering</u> migration/inversion in isotropic media Wuqun Li *et al*



# IOP ebooks<sup>™</sup>

Bringing together innovative digital publishing with leading authors from the global scientific community.

Start exploring the collection-download the first chapter of every title for free.

# Generalized Radon transform inversions for reflectivity in anisotropic elastic media

Maarten V de Hoop and Norman Bleistein

Center for Wave Phenomena, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401-1887, USA

Received 29 July 1996

**Abstract.** The resolution analysis of generalized Radon transform (GRT) inversion of seismic data is carried out in general anisotropic media. The GRT inversion formula is derived from the ray-Born approximation of the wave field for volume scatterers. However, by considering scattering surfaces in the resolution analysis, rather than parameter perturbations, we show that the inversion provides a reflectivity map and reflection/transmission coefficients as functions of scattering angles and azimuths. Those coefficients can be subjected to any type of amplitude versus angle (AVA) or amplitude versus offset (AVO) analysis. By applying the inversion to Kirchhoff approximate data rather than Born approximate data, we show that the output is actually linear in the reflection coefficients and, hence, a nonlinear function of the change in medium parameters across discontinuity surfaces—the reflectors of the medium.

#### 1. Introduction

In exploration geophysics, in current acquisition practice, one takes surface reflectionseismic measurements at increasingly larger scattering angles both for image enhancement and improved estimation of elastic parameters. To achieve a better resolution from imaging and inverting these measurements, however, one must account for the interplay between heterogeneity and anisotropy at different length scales in the subsurface. Also, to accommodate inversion of wide angle data, one has to take account of the nonlinear dependence of the reflected amplitude on changes in medium parameters, at least near the relevant reflectors in the configuration. We use the *generalized Radon transform* or GRT formulation to achieve these goals.

Our analysis begins with the ray-Born approximation of the direct scattering problem, which represents the scattered field as a volume integral. This representation is recast as a sum of surface integrals over the (curved) discontinuity surfaces of the medium parameters— the reflectors in the subsurface. Then the inversion of the linearized scattering problem is carried out. The linearization, however, restricts the validity of the procedure to narrow scattering angles. The resolution analysis of the linearized GRT inversion serves as the basis to formulate the inverse problem in terms of conormal distributions: thus the validity of the GRT inversion is extended by applying a stationary phase analysis with respect to migration dip—defined as the normalized gradient of total travel time along the scattering characteristic. In this process, carrying out the GRT inversion on Kirchhoff-type data, an explicit adjustment of the inversion formula is found.

By identifying the data, for each image point, in common scattering-angle/azimuth gathers, the GRT inversion formula can be modified to obtain reflection/transmission coefficients at specular ray geometries. Any amplitude versus scattering angle (AVA)

analysis can then be applied to those coefficients to derive information about the medium perturbation. Several parametrizations of this perturbation have been developed to reveal to which quantities the coefficients are sensitive.

The idea of estimating angle-dependent reflectivity has been developed by various authors; see, for example, Geoltrain and Chovet [1] and Lumley [2]. A more rigorous discussion of such an approach can be found in Bleistein *et al* [3]. In our paper, we derive a GRT-based inversion procedure that accomplishes the goal of constructing exact plane-wave reflection coefficients as functions of scattering angle and azimuth, in closed form.

GRT-type inversion formulas for the *linearized* inverse problem have been developed by Norton and Linzer [4], by Beylkin [5–8], by Miller *et al* [9–10], by Beylkin *et al* [11], and by Rakesh [12] for the acoustic case. The extension to the elastic case was discussed by Beylkin and Burridge [13], and anisotropy was considered by De Hoop *et al* [14] and Spencer and De Hoop [15]. Inversion formulae aiming to estimate reflectivity rather than the medium perturbation were developed by Cohen and Bleistein [16], by Bleistein and Cohen [17], and by Bleistein [18, 19]. This paper brings the two approaches together. Discussion of the numerical implementation of GRT inversion procedures can be found in De Hoop and Spencer [20].

The GRT approach is a *high-frequency* approach to inversion. There are two equally valid points of view about the utility of this method. First, for full bandwidth data, we obtain by this method only the most *singular part* of the solution to the inverse problem, since it is this part of the solution that is tied to the limit of frequency approaching infinity. On the other hand, as a practical matter, the typical bandwidth of the seismic inverse problem is such that the data can be viewed as *high-frequency* data for most of the length and time scales of the geophysical model. Thus, numerical implementations of the derived inversion formulae produce useful results for seismic exploration.

Throughout the paper we have excluded the possibility of multipathing of the rays connecting the image point to a source or a receiver in the predefined background medium. In the case of multipathing, the GRT inversion cannot be carried out simply per scattering-angle/azimuth; we will investigate this complication in a separate paper.

#### 2. The basic equations

We consider a configuration, in which we illuminate a remote scattering domain with elastic waves generated by point body forces and observed by multi-component point receivers. We will analyse the scattered field due to singular medium perturbations of a smooth background.

#### 2.1. Notation

First, we introduce some basic notation. Choose coordinates in the configuration according to  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ , the Cartesian position vector,  $s = (s_1, s_2, s_3)$ , the source point,  $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ , the receiver point, and t, the time. The medium is described by  $\rho(x)$ , the density, and  $c_{ijk\ell}(x)$ , and the elastic stiffness tensor, while the wave field is described by  $u(x, t) = (u_1(x, t), u_2(x, t), u_3(x, t))$ , the displacement vector, and generated by a source distribution given by  $f(x, t) = (f_1(x, t), f_2(x, t), f_3(x, t))$ , the body-force source density. In the remainder of the paper, we will employ the summation convention.

# 2.2. Governing wave equation

The displacement in a heterogeneous anisotropic medium satisfies the elastodynamic wave equation

$$\rho \partial_t^2 u_i - \partial_j (c_{ijk\ell} \partial_\ell u_k) = f_i \tag{1}$$

with summation over repeated lower case indices, here and below. Let

$$G(x, x', t) = (G_{ip}(x, x', t))$$
 (2)

be the causal Green tensor, which satisfies (cf equation (1))

$$\rho \partial_t^2 G_{ip} - \partial_j (c_{ijk\ell} \partial_\ell G_{kp}) = \delta_{ip} \delta(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}') \delta(t) \qquad G_{ip} = 0 \text{ for } t < 0.$$
(3)

# 2.3. Asymptotic ray theory

Here, we summarize the formulation of anisotropic ray theory for the evaluation of the Green tensor (see, e.g., Kendall *et al* [21]). Let

$$G_{ip}(x, x', t) = \sum_{N} A^{(N)}(x, x') \xi_{i}^{(N)}(x) \xi_{p}^{(N)}(x') \delta(t - \tau^{(N)}(x, x')) + \text{terms smoother in } t.$$
(4)

In this equation, the arrival time  $\tau^{(N)}$  and the associated polarization vector  $\boldsymbol{\xi}^{(N)}$  satisfy

$$(\rho \delta_{ik} - c_{ijk\ell} (\partial_\ell \tau^{(N)}) (\partial_j \tau^{(N)})) \xi_k^{(N)} = 0 \qquad \text{(at all } \boldsymbol{x})$$
(5)

which implies the eikonal equation

$$\det(\rho \delta_{ik} - c_{ijk\ell}(\partial_{\ell} \tau)(\partial_{j} \tau)) = 0 \qquad (\text{at all } \boldsymbol{x}).$$
(6)

The polarization vectors are assumed to be normalized so that  $\xi_i^{(N)}\xi_i^{(N)} = 1$ . Define the slowness vector  $\gamma^{(N)}$  by

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(N)}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\tau}^{(N)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{x}'). \tag{7}$$

Then, equation (6) constrains  $\gamma$  to lie on the sextic surface  $\mathcal{A}(x)$  given by

$$\det(\rho\delta_{ik} - c_{ijk\ell}\gamma_\ell\gamma_j) = 0.$$
(8)

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x})$  consists of three sheets  $\mathcal{A}^{(N)}(\mathbf{x})$ , N = 1, 2, 3, each of which is a closed surface surrounding the origin. An individual sheet is also described by (cf equation (5))

$$2\mathcal{H} = \rho - \xi_i c_{ijk\ell} \gamma_\ell \gamma_j \xi_k = 0. \tag{9}$$

The scalar amplitudes  $A^{(N)}$  must satisfy the transport equation

$$\partial_j (c_{ijk\ell} \xi_i^{(N)} \xi_k^{(N)} (A^{(N)})^2 \partial_\ell \tau^{(N)}) = 0$$
(10)

where N, again, indicates the mode of propagation, that is, the sheet of the slowness surface on which the corresponding slowness vector lies.

The characteristic or group velocities  $v^{(N)}$  are normal to  $\mathcal{A}^{(N)}(x)$  at  $\gamma^{(N)}$  and satisfy

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(N)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(N)} = 1 \qquad \boldsymbol{v} = \frac{\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathcal{H}}{\boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \mathcal{H}} \Big|_{\mathcal{H}=0}$$
 (11)

see equation (9). The normal or phase speeds are given by

$$V^{(N)} = \frac{1}{|\gamma^{(N)}|}.$$
(12)

The unit phase direction follows as

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(N)} = V^{(N)} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{(N)}.$$

From equation (11) it follows that

$$V^{(N)} = |\boldsymbol{v}^{(N)}| \cos \chi^{(N)}$$

where  $\chi^{(N)}$  is the angle between  $v^{(N)}$  and  $\gamma^{(N)}$ .

The amplitudes can be expressed in terms of certain Jacobians,

$$A = \frac{1}{4\pi [\rho(\boldsymbol{x})\rho(\boldsymbol{x}')\mathcal{M}]^{1/2}} \qquad \text{with } \mathcal{M} = |\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x}')|V(\boldsymbol{x}) \left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial q_1} \wedge \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}{\partial q_2} \right|_{\boldsymbol{x}} / \left| \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial q_1} \wedge \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\partial q_2} \right|_{\boldsymbol{x}'}$$
(14)

(13)

in which A,  $\mathcal{M}$ , v, and V carry the superscript (N). Here,  $(q_1, q_2)$  parametrize the rays originating from the source. One can verify that the dimension of A is  $[\text{time}]^2 \times [\text{mass}]^{-1}$ , which upon multiplication by force, with dimensions  $[\text{mass}] \times [\text{length}] \times [\text{time}]^{-2}$ , gives the dimension of displacement, i.e. [length].

# 2.4. Source and receiver Green functions

In the integral representation for the scattered field, we need the Green functions originating both at the source and the receiver points. Furthermore, the gradient of total travel times from the source to a scattering point to the receiver are required in preparation of the GRT inversion. We introduce these functions here.

Set

$$\hat{G}(x,t) = G(x,s,t)$$
  $\hat{G}(x,t) = G(r,x,t).$  (15)

Employing asymptotic ray theory in both Green functions, we introduce the notation

$$\tilde{A}^{(\hat{N})}(x) = A^{(N)}(x, s) \qquad \hat{A}^{(\hat{M})}(x) = A^{(M)}(r, x)$$
(16)

in the case of scattering from incident mode N to outgoing mode M.

According to equation (7), the slowness vectors at x are given by

$$\tilde{\gamma}^{(\hat{N})}(x) = \nabla_x \tau^{(N)}(x,s) \qquad \hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}(x) = \nabla_x \tau^{(M)}(r,x) \tag{17}$$

the associated phase directions are given by

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})} = \frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(\tilde{N})}}{|\tilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(\tilde{N})}|} \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})} = \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(\hat{M})}}{|\hat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}^{(\hat{M})}|}$$
(18)

and the phase speeds (cf equation (12)) are given by

$$\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})} = \frac{1}{|\tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}|} \qquad \hat{V}^{(\hat{M})} = \frac{1}{|\hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}|}.$$
(19)

We also define the two-way travel time  $T^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$  and its gradient,

$$T^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) \equiv \tau^{(N)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) + \tau^{(M)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x})$$
(20)

$$\Gamma^{(NM)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{x},s)\equiv 
abla_{\boldsymbol{x}}T^{(NM)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{x},s).$$

From equation (17) we see that

$$\Gamma^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(r,x,s) = \tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}(x) + \hat{\gamma}^{(\tilde{M})}(x).$$
<sup>(21)</sup>

The direction of  $\Gamma^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$ ,

$$\nu \equiv \frac{\Gamma^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}}{|\Gamma^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}|}$$

will be the *migration dip*, which we referred to in the introduction. The ray geometry and slowness vectors are illustrated in figure 1; the associated polarization vectors are shown in figure 2.



Figure 1. Source–receiver ray geometry ( $S^2$  = unit sphere).

# 3. Medium description

Let c(x) denote a smoothly varying background medium. To analyse a typical geological setting, we consider the following, microlocal, representation,

$$c^{(1)}(x) \to c^{(1)}(x, \phi(x))$$
 (22)

of the medium's perturbation. Here,  $\phi$  is a smooth function of x, some (curved) level surfaces of which describe a family of interfaces. The gradient of the perturbation is assumed to vary rapidly normal to the level surfaces of  $\phi$  and smoothly along them, implying that

$$\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{c}^{(1)} = (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})' (\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}) + \text{terms smoother in } \boldsymbol{x} \qquad (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})' = \partial_{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}. \tag{23}$$

This representation extends to a small ball around any point, in particular the image point y, say, under investigation. The derivative  $(c^{(1)})'$  is understood in the distributional sense. Typically, it will have a Dirac-distribution-type behaviour across any geological interface. Loosely, the derivative can be interpreted as the difference in medium properties across a level surface. The support of  $c^{(1)}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{D}$ .

# 674 *M V de Hoop and N Bleistein*

In the background medium we assume that the ray theory of the previous section applies. In the forward problem the background c and the perturbation  $c^{(1)}$  are both known; in the inverse problem the aim is to reconstruct  $c^{(1)}$  given c.

We will omit the first argument of  $c^{(1)}$  in the remainder of this paper, and take only the most rapidly varying term of the perturbation's derivatives into account. Imaging reflectors or interfaces amounts to mapping this leading-order behaviour,  $(c^{(1)})'$ . We will confirm below that our inversion procedure does this, and also provides estimates of angularly dependent reflection coefficients.



Figure 2. Source-receiver ray polarizations.

#### 4. The single scattering equation

In this section, we introduce the Born approximation representing the singly scattered wave field. We then show how to recast this volume integral representation into a surface integral for the response to the most singular element of the scattering process, namely, reflecting the discontinuities of the medium parameters.

# 4.1. Volume integral representation

We begin the analysis with the volume-scattering representation of the ray-Born approximation for the scattered displacement field  $u^{(1)}$  for the (NM) conversion. Let  $(r, s) \in \partial R \times \partial S$ ; ideally, the boundaries  $\partial R, \partial S \sim S^2$  ( $S^2$  = unit sphere) are closed surfaces surrounding the heterogeneous domain  $\mathcal{D}$ . Then the *p*-component displacement at r due to a *q*-component point body force at s is given by (De Hoop *et al* [14])

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t) = -\int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times \boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{x}) \delta''(t - T^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s})) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$
(24)

where  $N, M \in \{1, 2, 3\},\$ 

$$A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(x) = \rho(x)\tilde{A}^{(\tilde{N})}(x)\hat{A}^{(\hat{M})}(x)$$
(25)

contains the amplitudes,

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} &= \{\tilde{\xi}_{i}^{(\tilde{N})}\hat{\xi}_{i}^{(\hat{M})}, \frac{1}{2}[\hat{a}_{ij}^{(\hat{M})}\tilde{a}_{k\ell}^{(\tilde{N})} + \hat{a}_{k\ell}^{(\hat{M})}\tilde{a}_{ij}^{(\tilde{N})}]\}\\ \hat{a}_{ij}^{(\hat{M})} &= \frac{1}{2}V_{\circ}^{(\hat{M})}(\hat{\xi}_{i}^{(\hat{M})}\hat{\gamma}_{j}^{(\hat{M})} + \hat{\xi}_{j}^{(\hat{M})}\hat{\gamma}_{i}^{(\hat{M})})\\ \tilde{a}_{k\ell}^{(\tilde{N})} &= \frac{1}{2}V_{\circ}^{(\tilde{N})}(\tilde{\xi}_{k}^{(\tilde{N})}\tilde{\gamma}_{\ell}^{(\tilde{N})} + \tilde{\xi}_{\ell}^{(\tilde{N})}\tilde{\gamma}_{k}^{(\tilde{N})}) \end{split}$$

describes the contrast-source radiation patterns, and

$$\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)} = \left\{ \frac{\rho^{(1)}}{\rho}, \frac{c^{(1)}_{ijk\ell}}{\rho V_{\circ}^{(\hat{M})} V_{\circ}^{(\tilde{N})}} \right\}$$

represents the relative medium perturbation. Here,  $V_{\circ}^{(P)}$  denotes the (local) normal speed of mode *P* in the background medium averaged over all phase directions. By introducing this convenient scale, we have made the quantities  $\hat{a}_{ij}^{(\hat{M})}$ ,  $\tilde{a}_{k\ell}^{(\tilde{N})}$ , and  $c^{(1)}$  dimensionless since the  $\gamma$ 's have the dimensions of [slowness] and  $c_{ijkl}$  has the dimension of [density]×[velocity]<sup>2</sup>. The notation  $_{\circ}$  is meant to emphasize that the quantity is angle independent, which is important for retaining the actual medium perturbation from  $c^{(1)}$  and the GRT inversion to be applicable.

In the microlocal setting, substituting equation (22) into equation (24), we have

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t) = -\int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times \boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})) \delta^{\prime\prime}(t - T(\boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$
(26)

where, for convenience, we employ the shorthand notation

$$T(\boldsymbol{x}) = T^{(NM)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s}) \qquad \Gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} T^{(NM)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{s})$$
(27)

see equation (20). To make use of the properties of the gradient of the medium's perturbation (cf equation (23)), we will partially integrate expression (26). Since

$$(\nabla_x T)(x)\delta''(t - T(x)) = -\nabla_x \delta'(t - T(x))$$
(28)

we have

$$\delta''(t - T(x)) = -\frac{1}{(\bar{\nu} \cdot \nabla_x T)(x)} \bar{\nu} \cdot \nabla_x \delta'(t - T(x))$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

for arbitrary  $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \in S^2$  as long as  $\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \nabla_x T \neq 0$ . Hence,

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) \simeq -\int_{\mathcal{D}} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})) \frac{(\boldsymbol{\bar{\nu}} \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi})}{(\boldsymbol{\bar{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta'(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}.$$
(30)

Here, the approximation arises from neglecting lower-order terms as in equation (23), as well as neglecting derivatives of the remaining amplitude in equation (26), compared to  $(c^{(1)})'$ . These will produce asymptotically lower-order contributions to the wave field.

It is assumed that  $\bar{\nu} = \bar{\nu}(x)$  is slowly varying in space, and may be chosen equal to the local *geological dip*,

$$\nu_{\phi} \equiv \frac{\nabla_x \phi}{|\nabla_x \phi|}.\tag{31}$$

On the other hand, we can choose  $\bar{\nu} = \nu$ , which we always know. In the inverse scattering problem, the geological dip is unknown and has to be determined. Below, we show that at stationarity the geological and migration dips must be parallel.

# 4.2. Surface integral representation

Now, we show how to recast the volume integral representation (30) into an integral over surface integrals over the level surfaces of  $\phi$ . To this end, we choose curvi-linear coordinates  $\sigma = \sigma(x)$ ,  $\sigma = (\sigma_{\mu}, \sigma_3)$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2$ , such that the  $\sigma_{\mu}$  are coordinates in the level surfaces of  $\phi$  and  $\sigma_3$  is the local coordinate in the  $\nu_{\phi}$ -direction. If  $\sigma_3$  represents the actual value of the level of  $\phi$ , we set  $\sigma_3 = L$ . (We denote these coordinates as interface normal coordinates.) The volume form is given by

$$\mathrm{d} oldsymbol{x} = rac{1}{|
abla_x \phi|} \, \mathrm{d} L \, \mathrm{d} \Sigma(oldsymbol{x}) \qquad \mathrm{d} \Sigma(oldsymbol{x}) = |\partial_{\sigma_1} oldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_2} oldsymbol{x}| \, \mathrm{d} \sigma_1 \, \mathrm{d} \sigma_2.$$

The transformation from Cartesian to curvilinear coordinates yields the Jacobian

$$\frac{\partial(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\sigma})} = |\partial_{\sigma_3}\boldsymbol{x} \cdot (\partial_{\sigma_1}\boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_2}\boldsymbol{x})| = \frac{|\partial_{\sigma_1}\boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_2}\boldsymbol{x}|}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{\phi}|}.$$
(32)

Now write

$$(c^{(1)})'(\phi(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (c^{(1)})'(L)\delta(\phi(x) - L) \,\mathrm{d}L.$$
(33)

Substituting equation (33) into (30), interchanging the order of integration, and using the property (cf equation (32))

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \dots \delta(\phi(x) - L) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\phi = L} \dots \frac{1}{|\nabla_x \phi|} \, \mathrm{d}\Sigma(x)$$

we obtain

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) \simeq -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}L \bigg[ \int_{\phi=L} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi)}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Gamma})|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta'(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \,\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) \bigg] \\ = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}L \bigg[ \int_{\phi=L} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}\phi)}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Gamma})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta'(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \,\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) \bigg].$$
(34)

As a consequence of equation (34), we also have

$$\partial_{t} u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}L \bigg[ \int_{\phi=L} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^{T} \\ \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta''(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \bigg].$$
(35)

The singular support of the medium perturbation,  $\phi(x) = L$ , and the isochrone surfaces, T(x) = t, are illustrated in figure 3.

### 5. Reflection and transmission coefficients

To identify reflection and transmission coefficients in equation (35), we first extract phase velocities at the scattering point from the amplitudes,

$$A_u(x) = A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(x) [\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(x)(\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})}(x))^3]^{1/2}$$
(36)



Figure 3. Microlocal medium perturbation.

then equation (35) can be written in the form

$$\partial_{t} u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\phi=L} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A_{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\alpha}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})) \right. \\ \left. \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi}) (\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) \right|_{x} \delta^{\prime\prime}(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|} \right] \mathrm{d}L$$
(37)

in which

$$R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(.),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(.)) = \frac{(\boldsymbol{w}^{(NM)})^{T}(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{\phi}|}{[\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})})^{3}]^{1/2}|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|^{2}(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu})^{2}}$$
(38)

represents the scattering coefficient for the (NM) conversion at x with  $\phi(x) = L$ , i.e.  $R_L^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$  really depends on  $\sigma_{\mu}(x)$ ,  $\mu = 1, 2$ . Observe that the scattering coefficient contains a function that may be singular on the level surfaces of  $\phi$ . In fact, the integration over L picks up the singular support of  $(c^{(1)})'$ .

# 5.1. Specular reflection and transmission

Now, let  $c^{(1)}$  contain a step function in L (across a curved interface at  $L = L_0$ ). Then  $(c^{(1)})'(L) = (\Delta c^{(1)})\delta(L - L_0)$ . At the specular point for given  $\nu_{\phi}$ , the pair  $\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(.), \hat{\alpha}^{(\hat{M})}(.)$  satisfies Snell's law,

$$\frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}}{\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi}) = -\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}}{\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi}).$$
(39)

(In an isotropic medium with M = N the solution is simply given by

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\mathrm{s}}^{(\hat{N})} = -\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})} \cdot \left( I - 2\nu_{\phi}\nu_{\phi} \right)$$

representing ordinary reflection.) At the specular point, the migration dip coincides with the geological dip,  $\nu = \nu_{\phi}$ .

# 678 *M V de Hoop and N Bleistein*

Substituting the solution,  $\hat{\alpha}_{s}^{(\hat{M})}$ , of (39) into the scattering matrix (38), yields the *linearized reflection/transmission coefficients*  $\mathbf{r}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$ ,

$$\mathbf{r}_{L_{0}}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(.))\delta(L-L_{0}) = R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(.),\hat{\alpha}_{s}^{(\hat{M})}(.)).$$
(40)

Here, the left-hand side exploits the evaluation of  $\hat{\alpha}^{(\hat{M})}$  at specular and explicitly notes the distributional character of the right-hand side. In fact, with  $\bar{\nu} = \nu_{\phi}$ , (37) is equivalent to the Kirchhoff–Born approximation, which unlike the Kirchhoff approximation satisfies the principle of reciprocity. For notational convenience, we introduce

$$\mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(.)) = \mathbf{r}_{L_{0}}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(.))\delta(L-L_{0})$$
(41)

to absorb the Dirac distribution in  $\mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$ .

# 5.2. The Kirchhoff approximation

Here, we show how to go from the linearized Born representation equation (35) to the nonlinear Kirchhoff approximation. For general reference, we introduce the relevant scattering and specular angles: in addition to  $\nu$ , we set

$$\cos \theta = \tilde{\alpha}^{(N)} \cdot \hat{\alpha}^{(M)} \qquad \psi = \text{third Euler angle.}$$
(42)

Then, at any point in  $\mathcal{D}$ , we have a mapping

$$(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(N)}(.), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(M)}(.)) \to (\boldsymbol{\nu}_{.}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{.}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{.}).$$

$$(43)$$

If  $\nu = \nu_{\phi}$ , the ray geometry is specular; let the associated specular scattering angles be defined as

$$\cos\tilde{\theta} = \tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})} \cdot \nu_{\phi} \qquad \cos\hat{\theta} = \hat{\alpha}_{s}^{(M)} \cdot \nu_{\phi} \qquad \theta_{s} = \tilde{\theta} - \hat{\theta}.$$
(44)

In the non-reciprocal, 'nonlinear' Kirchhoff approximation, the scattering matrix is simply replaced by the *exact* reflection/transmission coefficients at specular, cf equation (37) with  $\bar{\nu} = \nu_{\phi}$ ,

$$\partial_{t} u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\phi=L} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\hat{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A_{u}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x})) (\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma}) |_{\boldsymbol{x}} \right. \\ \left. \times \delta^{\prime\prime}(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|} \right] \mathrm{d}L.$$

$$(45)$$

For wide-angle  $(\theta)$  scattering, this representation is certainly more adequate than equation (37). The time derivative is taken to pave the way for the Radon transform inversion. (In three dimensions, one needs the second derivative of the Dirac distribution.) In our further analysis, we actually employ the reciprocal representation equation (37) in which  $R_L^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$  is replaced by the full reflection/transmission coefficient at specular. Note that due to the singular function contained in  $\mathbf{R}_L^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$ , cf equation (40), the integration over L in (45) reduces to a sum over scattering surfaces or interfaces.

# 6. Stationary phase analysis of the direct scattering problem

By applying stationary phase arguments, the integral in equation (37) can be evaluated. The analysis confirms the consistency with asymptotic ray theory in configurations with a family of surface scatterers.

yet-to-be determined specular point  $y(L) \in \{\phi = L\}$ , such that

$$z \parallel \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \qquad \{x_{\mu}\} \perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \tag{46}$$

and

 $u = 
u_{\phi}$ 

see figure 3. The function T(y(L)) has a derivative given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}L} = \frac{|\nabla_x T|}{|\nabla_x \phi|} \bigg|_{y(L)} \tag{47}$$

while, by the implicit function theorem, the function  $L_y(t)$  satisfying

$$T(\boldsymbol{y}(L_{\boldsymbol{y}}(t))) = t$$

exists.

Taylor expansions of the level and isochrone surfaces including the curvature terms yield

$$0 = \phi(\mathbf{x}) - \phi(\mathbf{y}) = |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}\phi(\mathbf{y})|z + \frac{1}{2}x_{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{y})x_{\nu}$$
  
$$T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y}) + |\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}T(\mathbf{y})|z + \frac{1}{2}x_{\mu}T_{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{y})x_{\nu}.$$
 (48)

(Summations are carried out over  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ .) Here,

$$\phi_{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial_{x_{\mu}} \partial_{x_{\nu}}} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}}$$
$$T_{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial_{x_{\mu}} \partial_{x_{\nu}}} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}}.$$
(49)

The first equality in (48) amounts to the representation of the level surface  $\{\phi = L\}$ ,

$$z = -\frac{x_{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}}{2|\nabla_x\phi|}$$

which upon substitution in the second equality yields

$$T(\boldsymbol{x}) = T(\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} T(\boldsymbol{y})| x_{\mu} \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) x_{\nu}$$
(50)

with

$$\Upsilon_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{T_{\mu\nu}}{|\nabla_x T|} - \frac{\phi_{\mu\nu}}{|\nabla_x \phi|}$$

and x, y in the same level surface. Note that the matrix  $\Upsilon$  may vary with the level L, and can be negative or positive definite, or indefinite. Assuming that the rank of  $\Upsilon$  is full, for t near T(y), we have the intermediate result

$$\int_{\phi=L} \delta'(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) = \partial_t^2 \int_{\phi=L} H(t-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \, \mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})$$

$$\simeq \partial_t^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} H(t-T(\boldsymbol{y}) - \frac{1}{2} |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} T(\boldsymbol{y})| x_{\mu} \Upsilon_{\mu\nu}(\boldsymbol{y}) x_{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2$$

$$= \frac{2\pi \delta^*(t-T(\boldsymbol{y}))}{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})| \sqrt{|\det(\Upsilon(\boldsymbol{y}))|}}$$
(51)

using tangent plane coordinates, and where

$$\delta^* = \begin{cases} \delta & \text{if } \Upsilon \text{ positive definite} \\ \mathcal{H}\delta & \text{if } \Upsilon \text{ indefinite} \\ -\delta & \text{if } \Upsilon \text{ negative definite.} \end{cases}$$
(52)

In the above  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the Hilbert transform; the notation \* indicates an action on the time dependence. If the rank of  $\Upsilon$  drops—in the vicinity of a caustic—higher-order Taylor expansions in equation (48) are required; still, upon taking one time derivative and the Heaviside function together, the integral in equation (51) can be evaluated (see also Chester *et al* [22] and Hanyga and Seredynska [23]).

We will use equation (51) to evaluate the integral in equation (34) eventually. First, note that equation (51) implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dL \left[ \int_{\phi=L} (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \Gamma)} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \delta'(t - T(\boldsymbol{x})) d\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}) \right]$$

$$\simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dL (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \frac{2\pi}{|\Gamma| \sqrt{|\det(\Upsilon)|}} \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \Gamma)} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}(L)} \delta^{*}[t - T(\boldsymbol{y}(L))]$$

$$= \frac{2\pi}{|\Gamma| \sqrt{|\det(\Upsilon)|}} \frac{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})}{(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \Gamma)} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}(L_{y}(t))} \left(\frac{dT}{dL}\right)^{-1} (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})' \bigg|_{L_{y}(t)}^{*}$$
(53)

where, for any function **f**,

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}L}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{f}\Big|_{L_y(t)}^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{f}(L) \delta^*[t - T(\mathbf{y}(L))] \,\mathrm{d}L.$$

Substituting equation (47) into (53), and using the result in (34) implies

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, t) \simeq -\frac{2\pi |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|}{\sqrt{|\det(\Upsilon)|} |\Gamma|^{3}} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.) \times (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(., \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(.), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_{s}^{(\hat{M})}(.)))^{T} |_{\boldsymbol{y}(L_{\boldsymbol{y}}(t))}(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'|_{L_{\boldsymbol{y}}(t)}^{*}$$
(54)

since at the specular point we have  $\nu = \nu_{\phi}$  and  $\nu_{\phi} \cdot \Gamma = |\Gamma|$ . This formula is an extension of the *convolutional model* approximation in one-dimensional space to three dimensions.

In terms of the reflection/transmission coefficients, we have

$$u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},t) \simeq -\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{|\det(\Upsilon)||\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|}} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}) A_{u}(.) \mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} \Big|_{\substack{\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{y}(L)\\L=L_{y}(t)}}^{*}$$

(note that the \* relates to the KMAH index, see e.g. Hörmander [24]). To verify this result, use equations (36), (38), (40), and (41) in (54).

# 7. Inversion based on the GRT

First, we recognize that equation (35) has the structure of a GRT. Beylkin [7] has developed a way to invert the GRT asymptotically by proving the property that

$$\int_{S^2} [1 + \mathcal{O}(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|)] \delta''(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) + \mathcal{O}(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|^2)) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}$$
  
=  $-8\pi^2 \delta(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) + \mathrm{smoother terms}$  (55)

where  $\mathcal{O}(|x - y|)$  and  $\mathcal{O}(|x - y|^2)$  may depend on  $\nu$ . Up to leading orders, this property can be recast into the form

$$-8\pi^{2}\delta(\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) - L) = \int_{S^{2}} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \delta(\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - L)\delta''((\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}$$
$$= \int_{S^{2}} \int_{\phi = L} \delta''((\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}.$$
(56)

We will now use this expansion to invert equation (35).

### 7.1. Linearized inversion

In preparation of the inverse transformation, we introduce the scalar quantity on the diffraction surface,

$$\partial_{t} u^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \frac{\hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}) \partial_{t} u_{pq}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, T^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{s})) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s})}{A^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})}.$$
(57)

Then, using equation (37), we get

$$\frac{\partial_{t} u^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{y})}{[\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y})(\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}))^{3}]^{1/2}} \simeq -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{\phi=L} R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\alpha}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\alpha}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})) \times (\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})(\bar{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\cdot\boldsymbol{\Gamma})|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \, \delta^{\prime\prime}(T(\boldsymbol{y})-T(\boldsymbol{x})) \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|} \right] \mathrm{d}L.$$
(58)

Here, we have set  $A_u(x)/A_u(y) = 1 + O(|x - y|)$ , which is its value at the dominant critical point of the integrand. Furthermore, we will exploit the expansion

$$T(\boldsymbol{y}) - T(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) + \mathcal{O}(|\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{y}|^2) \simeq |\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{y})| (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}$$
(59)

which describes the tangent plane to the isochron at y. In our further analysis, we will make use of the identity

$$\delta''(|\Gamma(y)|(y-x)\cdot\nu) = |\Gamma(y)|^{-3}\delta''((y-x)\cdot\nu).$$

The inversion is accomplished by setting up a system of 22 equations, using the contraction according to equation (57), and employing  $\tilde{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\alpha}$  as variables of integration, i.e.

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})) \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{u}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}) \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|^{4}}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r} \\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}L \bigg[ \int_{\phi=L} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})) \\ &\times \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}))^{T}(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \\ &\times \frac{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma})}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \frac{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})}{(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Gamma})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \theta, \psi)} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \delta''((\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{x}) \bigg] \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu} \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi. \quad (60) \end{split}$$

Define the matrix

$$\Lambda_{.}(\boldsymbol{\nu}_{.}) \equiv \int_{S^{2}} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(.),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(.))\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(.,\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(.),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(.))^{T} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}},\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\nu},\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\psi})} \bigg|_{.} d\boldsymbol{\theta} d\boldsymbol{\psi}$$
(61)

at any image point y. Then, employing equation (56) in (60) and setting  $\bar{\nu} = \nu$  (recall that  $\bar{\nu}$  has been arbitrary until now), yields

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\phi(\boldsymbol{y}))|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|_{\boldsymbol{y}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L)\delta(\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) - L)|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \, \mathrm{d}L \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial S \times \partial R} \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \\ &\times \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}))\partial_t \boldsymbol{u}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \, \boldsymbol{s}, \, \boldsymbol{y}) \frac{|\Gamma|^4}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this inversion formula the actual shape of the level surfaces of  $\phi$  is not used; just the local normal at the image point plays a role. However, in section 9 it will be argued that the inner product,  $(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})$ , can be removed from the formula without changing the resolution analysis in the high-frequency approximation. The inverse of  $\Lambda$  is understood in the *generalized* sense (see de Hoop *et al* [25]) which is necessary in view of the possibility that not all parameters can be (stably) reconstructed. In fact, our inversion for reflectivity will circumvent this problem. We will denote the intermediate reconstruction as  $\langle (c^{(1)})'(\phi(y)) | \nabla_x \phi |_y \rangle$ .

Through equation (60), we have the freedom to carry out the inversion equation (62) in two steps. For a given image point y, we can imagine the data [(s, r) pairs] to be sorted into common  $(\theta, \psi)$  gathers. The variable in such gathers is the migration dip  $\nu$ ; formally, we denote these gathers by  $(\partial S \times \partial R)'(y; \theta, \psi)$ . The integration over dip is then carried out prior to the integration over scattering angle and azimuth. (In practice, shooting the rays from y would be controlled by  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ;  $\hat{\alpha}$  then follows from  $(\theta, \psi)$ . The data would be simply selected at those locations where the rays intersect  $\partial S$  and  $\partial R$ , respectively.)

To control the illumination of the image point at a given dip, we introduce the partition of unity,  $\{\chi_J\}$ , and

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle = \sum_{J} \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{\partial S \times \partial R} \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})) \partial_t \boldsymbol{u}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\ \times \frac{|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|^4}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \chi_J(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{s} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{r}.$$
(63)

Each term in the summation represents a partial reconstruction.

#### 7.2. Resolution analysis

Backsubstituting the Kirchhoff–Born scattering formula equation (35) with  $\bar{\nu} = \nu$ , into the inversion formula (63) and substituting the one-sided Fourier representation of the Dirac distribution,

$$\delta''(T(\boldsymbol{y}) - T(\boldsymbol{x})) = -\operatorname{Re}\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \exp[\mathrm{i}\omega(T(\boldsymbol{y}) - T(\boldsymbol{x}))]\omega^2 \,\mathrm{d}\omega$$

yields the *resolution* operator,

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=L} \mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}}} \, \mathrm{d}L \tag{64}$$

with matrix kernel  $\mathcal{R}$ ,

$$\mathcal{R}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{J} \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int \exp[i\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta)] a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta) \chi_{J}(\Theta) \, \mathrm{d}\Theta.$$
(65)

Here,  $\Theta = (\omega, s, r)$  and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial S \times \partial R} \dots d\Theta = \int_{\partial S \times \partial R} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \dots |\Gamma(y)|^3 \omega^2 \, d\omega \, ds \, dr.$$
(66)

The resolution operator expresses how well the reconstruction can be accomplished within the framework of the linear theory.

The phase function  $\Phi$  of the Fourier integral operator with kernel (65) is simply given by

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta) \equiv \omega(T(\boldsymbol{y}) - T(\boldsymbol{x})) \tag{67}$$

while the amplitude function arises as the matrix

$$a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta) \equiv \frac{A_u(\boldsymbol{x})}{A_u(\boldsymbol{y})} \hat{\xi}_p^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{y}) \hat{\xi}_p^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{x}) \tilde{\xi}_q^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}) \tilde{\xi}_q^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}) [(\Lambda_{\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{\nu}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{s})))^{-1} \\ \times \boldsymbol{w}^{(\hat{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})) (\boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})))^T] \\ \times \left[ \frac{\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y})(\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}))^3}{\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x})(\hat{V}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x}))^3} \right]^{1/2} \frac{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})|}{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{x})|} \frac{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})_x}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})_y} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}}.$$
(68)

In anticipation of introducing the scattering coefficients (cf equation (38)), we introduce the one-dimensional array of functions  $a_R$ , satisfying

$$a_{R}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x},\Theta)R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\tilde{M})}(\boldsymbol{x},\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x}),\hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})) = a(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x},\Theta)(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}))|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\boldsymbol{\phi}|_{\boldsymbol{x}}.$$
(69)

We will interpret  $\Theta$  in the spatial Fourier domain. To this end, we carry out two coordinate transformations. First, we employ the ray-induced mapping

$$s = s(\tilde{N}, \hat{M}, \nu, \theta, \psi) \qquad r = r(\tilde{N}, \hat{M}, \nu, \theta, \psi) \qquad \text{for fixed } y \tag{70}$$

with

$$\int_{\partial S \times \partial R} \cdots \frac{\partial(\tilde{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha})}{\partial(s, r)} \bigg|_{y} \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}r = \int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \cdots \frac{\partial(\tilde{\alpha}, \hat{\alpha})}{\partial(\nu, \theta, \psi)} \bigg|_{y} \, \mathrm{d}\nu \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi.$$

Thus, at  $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\Theta}$  is mapped on  $(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\psi})$ , and we set

$$a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} = a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\omega}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{r}) \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\psi})} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}}$$

We identify

$$T^{( ilde{N}\hat{M})}(m{r},m{x},m{s})$$
 with  $T^{( ilde{N}\hat{M})}(m{x},m{
u}, heta,\psi)$ 

Second, the frequency  $\omega$  is transformed to the wavenumber  $k_{\Gamma}$  according to

$$k_{\Gamma} \equiv \omega |\Gamma(y)|. \tag{71}$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \dots |\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{y})|^3 \omega^2 \, \mathrm{d}\omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} \dots k_{\Gamma}^2 \, \mathrm{d}k_{\Gamma}.$$
(72)

We now identify the wavevector

$$\Theta' \equiv k_{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{R}^3 \qquad \text{with } d\Theta' = k_{\Gamma}^2 \, dk_{\Gamma} \, d\boldsymbol{\nu} \tag{73}$$

and we will consider  $(\theta, \psi)$  as parameters, i.e.  $\Theta \to (\omega, \nu, \theta, \psi) \to (\Theta', \theta, \psi)$ . The Jacobian of the latter transformation is written as (cf equation (72))

$$\frac{\partial(\Theta')}{\partial(\omega,\nu)}\Big|_{\mathbf{y}} = h(\mathbf{y},\nu)\omega^2 \qquad h(\mathbf{y},\nu) = |\mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{y})|^3.$$

Formally, also

$$h = |\det(\Gamma \ \partial_{\nu_1} \Gamma \ \partial_{\nu_2} \Gamma)|. \tag{74}$$

The inverse transformation to frequency, the so-called Stolt mapping, is given by

$$\omega(\Theta') = \frac{\Theta' \cdot \Gamma(\mathbf{y})}{|\Gamma(\mathbf{y})|^2} \tag{75}$$

since also

$$\Theta' = \omega \Gamma(\boldsymbol{y}).$$

We identify

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta)$$
 with  $\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta', \theta, \psi)$ 

In the phase space with coordinates  $(x, \Theta')$ , equation (65) gives rise to the resolution equation

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{J} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\boldsymbol{\phi}=L} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+} \times S^{2}} \exp[i\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta', \theta, \psi)] \times a(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta', \theta, \psi) \chi_{J}(\Theta', \theta, \psi) \, \mathrm{d}\Theta' \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}} \frac{\mathrm{d}\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}}} \, \mathrm{d}L \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi.$$
(76)

For x near  $y, \Phi = \Theta' \cdot (y - x) + \cdots$ . The integration over  $\Theta'$  represents the spatial resolution, whereas the integration over  $\theta$ ,  $\psi$  primarily represents the parameter resolution per migration dip. However, note that the parameter resolution couples to the spatial resolution, since  $\Theta'$ in general depends on  $(\theta, \psi)$ .

Below, we will constrain  $\chi_J$  to be a function of  $k_{\Gamma} = |\Theta'|, \theta, \psi$  alone.

# 7.3. Stationary phase analysis of the resolution operator

Inside the integral over  $\omega$  in equations (65) and (66), we consider  $\omega$  to be large. Then, we apply a four-dimensional stationary phase analysis with respect to the integrations over  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \nu) \in \mathcal{S}(L) \times S^2$ , cf equation (76). The range  $\mathcal{S}(L)$  indicates that the surfaces are contained in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

We choose polar coordinates on the  $\nu$ -sphere,

$$\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\sin\theta^{\nu}\cos\psi^{\nu}, \sin\theta^{\nu}\sin\psi^{\nu}, \cos\theta^{\nu}).$$

We extract  $L = \sigma_3$  and  $k_{\Gamma} = |\Theta'|$  from the set of phase space coordinates,

$$(\boldsymbol{x}, \Theta') \to (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, L, k_{\Gamma}, \theta^{\nu}, \psi^{\nu}) \qquad \eta \equiv (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \theta^{\nu}, \psi^{\nu})$$

resubstitute  $k_{\Gamma} = \omega |\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})|$ , and set

$$\Phi(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}, \Theta', \theta, \psi) = \omega \Phi'(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi).$$

Writing the coordinates explicitly, the resolution equation (76) takes the form

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{J} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \int_{\mathcal{S}(L) \times S^{2}} \exp[i\omega \Phi'(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi)] \\ \times a(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \chi_{J}(k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) h(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\nu})(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}))) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})} \\ \times \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\boldsymbol{\sigma})}} \omega^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \, \mathrm{d}L \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi$$
(77)

where

$$d\eta = d\Sigma(x)\sin\theta^{\nu}d\theta^{\nu}d\psi^{\nu}.$$
(78)

For given  $(\theta, \psi)$ , the phase  $\Phi'$  is stationary with respect to the variables of integration  $\eta$  if

$$\partial_{\sigma_\mu} \Phi' = 0 \qquad ext{ and } \qquad \partial_{( heta^
u,\psi^
u)} \Phi' = 0.$$

Here,

$$\partial_{\sigma_{\mu}} \Phi' = -\Gamma \cdot \partial_{\sigma_{\mu}} x \tag{80}$$

while

$$\partial_{\theta^{\nu}} \Phi' = [\hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \partial_{\theta^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{r} + [\tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \partial_{\theta^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{s} \partial_{\psi^{\nu}} \Phi' = [\hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \hat{\gamma}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \partial_{\psi^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{r} + [\tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \tilde{\gamma}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{x})] \cdot \partial_{\psi^{\nu}} \boldsymbol{s}.$$
(81)

The stationary points are denoted as  $\sigma_{\mu}^{0} = \sigma_{\mu}^{0}(y)$  and induce the mapping  $x(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)$  for any L. The solution of the first equation in (79) with (80) implies that the stationary migration dip,  $\nu^{0}$ , must be parallel to the geological dip,  $\nu_{\phi}$ , i.e.

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}^0 = \pm \boldsymbol{\nu}_\phi. \tag{82}$$

One solution,  $\sigma^0_\mu$ , of the second equation is easy to identify:

$$\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0},L)=\boldsymbol{y}.$$

At this value one expects the peak contribution to the resolved medium perturbation. At  $x(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)$ , given  $\nu^{0}$ ,  $(\theta, \psi)$  will determine the stationary values of (s, r). We denote the stationary points by  $\eta^{0} = (\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, \nu^{0}(x(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)))$ , and the stationary point set by  $H^{0}$ , which contains at least two elements (cf equation (82)).

Applying the four-dimensional stationary phase approximation to equation (77) amounts to

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle = \sum_{\eta^{0} \in H^{0}} \operatorname{Re} \sum_{J} \int_{S^{2}} \frac{1}{8\pi^{3}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \right)^{2} \exp \left[ \mathrm{i}\omega \Phi'(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \right. \\ \left. + \mathrm{i}\frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{sig}(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^{0} \right] \frac{a(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi)}{\sqrt{|\det(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^{0}|}} \chi_{J}(k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) h(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{0}) \\ \left. \times (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L))) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)} \frac{|\partial_{\sigma_{1}} \boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{2}} \boldsymbol{x}|}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|} \right|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)} \omega^{2} \, \mathrm{d}\omega \, \mathrm{d}L \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi \quad (83)$$

in the absence of singularities. (Singularities require a separate analysis, which we will discuss in a separate paper.) Here, sig denotes the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative eigenvalues of a matrix. In equation (83),  $k_{\Gamma}$  is the stretch of frequency with  $|\Gamma(y)|^0$ , the norm of the gradient of travel time in case the migration dip is stationary.

In terms of the scattering coefficients (cf equation (69)), for  $x(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)$  near  $y \in \{\phi = L\}$ , expression (83) reduces to

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle \simeq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} = \pm \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J} \int_{S^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \exp \left[ i\omega \Phi'(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \right. \\ \left. + i \frac{\pi}{4} \operatorname{sig}(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^{0} \right] \chi_{J}(k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \, dk_{\Gamma} \\ \left. \times \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{R}(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) R_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) h(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{0})}{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})|^{0} \sqrt{|\det(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^{0}|}} \\ \left. \times \frac{|\partial_{\sigma_{1}} \boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{2}} \boldsymbol{x}|}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|} \right|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)} dL \, d\theta \, d\psi.$$

$$(84)$$

(79)

686 *M V de Hoop and N Bleistein* 

Recognizing the bandlimited Dirac distribution,

$$I_{\Delta,J}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \exp\left[i\omega\Phi'(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) + i\frac{\pi}{4}\operatorname{sig}(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^{0}\right] \times \chi_{J}(k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) dk_{\Gamma}$$
(85)

we find that the reconstruction amounts to a weighted integration of scattering coefficients,

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle \simeq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} = \pm \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J} \int_{E_{\theta} \times E_{\psi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Re} I_{\Delta,J}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \frac{1}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0},L)} \\ \times \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{R}(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \boldsymbol{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) h(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{\nu}^{0})}{|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{y})|^{0} \sqrt{|\det(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\Phi}')^{0}|}} \\ \times |\partial_{\sigma_{1}} \boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{2}} \boldsymbol{x}|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0}, L)} \, \mathrm{d}L \, \mathrm{d}\theta \, \mathrm{d}\psi}$$
(86)

where we have accounted for the fact that the range of integration over  $\theta$ ,  $\psi$  will be limited by the acquisition geometry. The ranges are given by  $E_{\theta} = E_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^0)$ ,  $E_{\psi} = E_{\psi}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^0, \theta)$ . In section 9, we will evaluate the Hessian det $(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^0$  and show that sig $(\nabla_{\eta} \nabla_{\eta} \Phi')^0 =$ 

In section 9, we will evaluate the Hessian det $(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^0$  and show that  $\operatorname{sig}(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^0 = 0$  at  $\nu^0 = \pm \nu_{\phi}$ . Then, in case the partition is complete, we have

$$\sum_{J} \operatorname{Re} I_{\Delta,J}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \frac{1}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi|} = \frac{1}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi|} \delta(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \simeq \delta(\phi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \phi(\boldsymbol{x})).$$
(87)

Due to the point symmetry of the slowness surface at the image point y, we can replace the summation  $\sum_{\nu^0=\pm\nu_{\phi}}\frac{1}{2}$  by the substitution  $\nu^0 = \nu_{\phi}$ .

# 8. GRT inversion of Kirchhoff data

Now, we will analyse the resolution operator from a different perspective. To accommodate for the 'nonlinear' reflection/transmission coefficients, we substitute our Kirchhoff-like approximation, a mixture of equations (37) and (45), into the inversion formula (62). The result is an equation very similar to (86). We obtain

$$\langle (\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(\boldsymbol{\phi}(\boldsymbol{y})) | \nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi} |_{\boldsymbol{y}} \rangle \simeq \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}^0 = \pm \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\boldsymbol{\phi}}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \times E_{\boldsymbol{\psi}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \boldsymbol{r}_L^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} \mathrm{d}L \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\theta} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\psi}$$
(88)

where

$$r_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} = \sum_{J} \operatorname{Re} I_{\Delta,J}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \frac{1}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \phi|} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0},L)} \times \frac{\boldsymbol{a}_{R}(\boldsymbol{y},L,\eta^{0},k_{\Gamma},\theta,\psi) \mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\eta^{0},k_{\Gamma},\theta,\psi) h(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0})}{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})|^{0} \sqrt{|\det(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^{0}|}} |\partial_{\sigma_{1}}\boldsymbol{x} \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{2}}\boldsymbol{x}|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0},L)}.$$
(89)

Componentwise, the reflection/transmission coefficients can be identified, namely, by undoing the multiplications by  $a_R$ .

# 9. Imaging reflectivity

### 9.1. The Hessian

In this section we will evaluate the Hessian of  $\Phi'$  at a stationary point. First, note that  $\partial_{\nu_{\mu}} \partial_{\nu_{\nu}} \Phi' = 0$  at the stationary point x = y (then  $\Phi \equiv 0$ ). Hence,

$$\det(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^{0} = [\det(\nabla_{\nu}\nabla_{\sigma}\Phi')^{0}]^{2}.$$
(90)

On the other hand, note that

$$(\partial_{\nu_{\mu}}\partial_{\sigma_{\nu}}\Phi') = (\partial_{\nu_{\mu}}\Gamma) \cdot (\partial_{\sigma_{\nu}}x). \tag{91}$$

This matrix can be written in the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} - & \partial_{
u_1} \mathbf{\Gamma} & - \ - & \partial_{
u_2} \mathbf{\Gamma} & - \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ \partial_{\sigma_1} \boldsymbol{x} & \partial_{\sigma_2} \boldsymbol{x} \\ | & | \end{pmatrix}$$

hence, also,

$$|\Gamma| \det[(\partial_{\nu} \Gamma) \cdot (\partial_{\sigma} x)] = \det \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} - & \partial_{\nu_{1}} \Gamma & - \\ - & \partial_{\nu_{2}} \Gamma & - \\ - & \Gamma & - \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} | & | & | \\ \partial_{\sigma_{1}} x & \partial_{\sigma_{2}} x & \nu_{\phi} \\ | & | & | \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (92)

In this expression, the first matrix on the right-hand side can be identified as h (see equation (74)) and the second one with the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation (32). Hence, using equation (90), we arrive at the identity

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}|^{0}\sqrt{\det\left(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi'\right)^{0}} = h(.,\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0})|\partial_{\sigma_{1}}\boldsymbol{x}\wedge\partial_{\sigma_{2}}\boldsymbol{x}|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma_{\mu}^{0},L)}.$$
(93)

In view of equation (90), the positive and negative eigenvalues must come in pairs, so that  $\operatorname{sig}(\nabla_{\eta}\nabla_{\eta}\Phi')^{0}$  must be equal to 0 or 4. On the other hand, we have intrinsically assumed that the gradient of two-way travel does not vanish, so that  $h \neq 0$ . In accordance with equation (93), hence, the determinant cannot vanish. This implies that under continuous deformations of the interface and ray geometries, the signature of the Hessian cannot change from 0 to 4 or *vice versa* (this would require an eigenvalue to become zero). In the case of flat level surfaces, it can be shown that the signature equals zero, which now implies that

$$\operatorname{sig}(\nabla_n \nabla_n \Phi')^0 = 0$$

for any  $\phi$ .

#### 9.2. The modified GRT inversion

Substituting equation (93) into (89) now yields

$$r_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} = \sum_{J} \operatorname{Re} I_{\Delta,J}(\boldsymbol{\nu}^{0} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{x})) \frac{1}{|\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}} \boldsymbol{\phi}|} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{x}(\sigma^{0}_{\mu},L)} \boldsymbol{a}_{R}(\boldsymbol{y}, L, \eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi) \mathbf{R}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\eta^{0}, k_{\Gamma}, \theta, \psi)$$
(94)

which is the proper interpretation of the set of images created by the GRT inversion formula (62). To arrive at this expression, we could use that at the stationary dip  $\nu^0 = \nu_{\phi}$ , and we could set  $(\nu \cdot \nu_{\phi}) = 1$  in equation (62) to begin with. Thus, *a priori* knowledge about the geological dip is not required.

In the stationary phase approximation, we can rewrite inversion formula (62) with (88) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \boldsymbol{r}_{L}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} dL \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi^{2}} \int_{S^{2}} \Lambda_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{w}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y}), \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{y})) \partial_{t} \boldsymbol{u}^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\ \times |\boldsymbol{\Gamma}|^{4} \frac{\partial(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\alpha}})}{\partial(\boldsymbol{\nu}, \theta, \psi)} \bigg|_{\boldsymbol{y}} d\boldsymbol{\nu}.$$
(95)

Here, we employ the mappings defined in equation (70).

To extract the reflection coefficient from  $r^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})}$ , one has to estimate the  $a_R$ , which are functions of the stationary dip, scattering angle and azimuth. In the inversion procedure, we

control the scattering angle and azimuth; in principle, we can estimate the geological dip from any of the images. With this estimate, the  $a_R$  can be evaluated. Now, note that (94) comprises a system of equations; from each equation, in principle, the reflection coefficient at specular can be determined. This redundancy can be employed to verify or improve the estimate of the stationary dip. The stationary dip also appears in the spectrum of the medium's perturbation; this is discussed in the appendix.

On the other hand, by virtue of the stationary phase approximation, we can remove the AVA inversion nested in formula (62): consider the procedure

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} r_L^{(\tilde{N}\hat{M})} \, \mathrm{d}L \simeq \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_{S^2} \frac{|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{y})|^m \partial_t u^{(NM)}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{y})}{[\tilde{V}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{y})(\hat{V}^{(\tilde{M})}(\boldsymbol{y}))^3]^{1/2}} \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu}.$$
(96)

Then  $a_R$  must be replaced by the scalar quantity

$$a_{R}(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{x},\Theta) \rightarrow \frac{A_{u}(\boldsymbol{x})}{A_{u}(\boldsymbol{y})} \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{y}) \hat{\xi}_{p}^{(\hat{M})}(\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{x}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{y}) \tilde{\xi}_{q}^{(\tilde{N})}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \times |\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{y})|^{m-3} |\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})| \frac{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})_{\boldsymbol{x}}}{(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi})_{\boldsymbol{y}}}.$$

$$\tag{97}$$

Its diagonal is given by

$$a_R(y, y, \Theta) \to |\Gamma(y)|^{m-2}.$$
 (98)

By producing images both with m = 4 and m = 3,  $|\Gamma(y)|$  at stationary can be imaged as well, namely, from the ratio of the images. Then the stationary dip is not required to find the reflection coefficient.

#### 10. Discussion

We have shown, by carrying out a stationary phase resolution analysis, that it is feasible to extract information about the angular dependent reflection coefficients from a GRT-based migration/inversion. We did not have to linearize nor expand the coefficients. In fact, the outcome of the resolution analysis is a multiple set of images for the reflection coefficients for the available range of specular scattering angles. Any type of AVA analysis can then be applied to interpret those images. In the derivation we have made use of the fact that the surface integral representations are linear in the scattering coefficients; these coefficients reduce, at specular, to the reflection coefficients.

The GRT approach employs a somewhat unusual input of data, namely, via common  $(\theta, \psi)$  gathers. The inversion formula reduces to a two-dimensional integration over migration dip. The  $(\theta, \psi)$  sorting, however, varies with the image point. It bears resemblance with the sorting in common offset, though. The use of such a sorting, however, necessitates the calculation of an additional Jacobian. Note that in the actual inversion procedure, the sorting does not have to be carried out.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Office of Naval Research, Mathematics Division, Grant No N00014-91-J-1267 and by the Consortium Project at the Center for Wave Phenomena, Colorado School of Mines. The authors would like to thank Dr R Burridge for many helpful discussions, and H Jaramillo for making figures 1 and 2.

# Appendix. The spectrum of the medium perturbation

In principle, the geological dip can be directly estimated from an image. However, the geological dip is also hidden in the spectrum of the medium perturbation equation (33).

Setting (cf equation (46))

$$z \parallel \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi} \qquad \{x_{\mu}\} \perp \boldsymbol{\nu}_{\phi}$$

at  $y \in \mathcal{D}$ , the medium perturbation spectrum is of the form (cf equation (33))

$$\partial_{\phi} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{d}L(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'(L) \int_{\mathcal{D}} \delta(\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) - L) \exp[-\mathrm{i}(k_{\mu}x_{\mu} + k_{z}(z_{\boldsymbol{y}}(L) + z))] \,\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$
(A.1)

where in fact  $k = \Theta' = k_{\Gamma} \nu$ . Near the level surface  $\phi = L$ , we employ the expansion

$$\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) = L + |\nabla_{\boldsymbol{x}}\phi|_{\boldsymbol{z}} + \frac{1}{2}x_{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}.$$
(A.2)

We implicitly assume that the integral over L is windowed around y such that in the window or neighbourhood  $\phi_{\mu\nu}$  may depend on L but  $\nu_{\phi}$  does not. At the level surface on which y lies, we have  $z_y = 0$ . Then,

$$\partial_{\phi} \widetilde{c}^{(1)}(k) \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}} dL(c^{(1)})'(L) \exp[-ik_{z}z_{y}(L)] \\ \times \int_{\mathcal{D}} \delta\left( |\nabla_{x}\phi|z + \frac{1}{2}x_{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}\right) \exp[-i(k_{\mu}x_{\mu} + k_{z}z)] dx_{1} dx_{2} dz \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} dL(c^{(1)})'(L) \exp[-ik_{z}z_{y}(L)] \\ \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \exp\left[ -i\left(k_{\mu}x_{\mu} - k_{z}\frac{x_{\mu}\phi_{\mu\nu}x_{\nu}}{2|\nabla_{x}\phi|}\right)\right] \frac{dx_{1}dx_{2}}{|\nabla_{x}\phi|} \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{2\pi \exp[\pi i \operatorname{sig}(k_{z}\phi_{\mu\nu})/4]}{|k_{z}|\sqrt{|\det(\phi_{\mu\nu}||}} \exp\left[ik_{\mu}\frac{|\nabla_{x}\phi|}{k_{z}}\phi_{\mu\nu}^{-1}k_{\nu}\right] \\ \times (c^{(1)})'(L) \exp[-ik_{z}z_{y}(L)] dL$$
(A.3)

where sig, as in the main text, represents the sum of signs (±1) of eigenvalues of  $k_z \phi_{\mu\nu}$ . Note that  $k_{\mu} = 0$  corresponds with the geological dip direction.

If the level surfaces of  $\phi$  were *flat* and  $\nu_{\phi} = \nu^1$  fixed, we would get

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\phi} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}}(\boldsymbol{k}) &= \delta(\boldsymbol{k} - (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})\boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}) (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}})' (\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{\Gamma}^{2}} \delta(\boldsymbol{\nu} - (\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})\boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}) (\widetilde{\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}})' (k_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})) \end{aligned}$$

which, in the Radon domain, implies

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \partial_{\phi} \boldsymbol{c}^{(1)}(\phi(\boldsymbol{x})) \delta''(\boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} - \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{x}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \delta(\boldsymbol{\nu} - (\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})\boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}) \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \widetilde{(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'}(k_{\Gamma}(\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})) \exp(ik_{\Gamma}\varphi) \, \mathrm{d}k_{\Gamma} \Big|_{\varphi = \boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\pi} \delta(\boldsymbol{\nu} - (\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1})\boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}) \operatorname{Re} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \widetilde{(\boldsymbol{c}^{(1)})'}(k_{\Gamma}) \exp(ik_{\Gamma}\varphi) \, \mathrm{d}k_{\Gamma} \Big|_{\varphi = \boldsymbol{y} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^{1}}.$$
(A.4)

This formula shows that the GRT algorithm can reveal the geological dip explicitly. We assumed a proper coordinate system on  $S^2$ , such that

$$\int_{S^2} \delta(\boldsymbol{\nu} - (\boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}^1) \boldsymbol{\nu}^1) \, \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\nu} = 1.$$

#### References

- Geoltrain S and Chovet E 1991 Automatic association of kinematic information to prestack images 61st Ann. Int. Mtg of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists Expanded abstracts, pp 890–2
- [2] Lumley D E 1993 Angle-dependent reflectivity estimation 63rd Ann. Int. Mtg of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists Expanded abstracts, pp 746–9
- [3] Bleistein N, Cohen J K and Stockwell J 1996 Mathematics of multidimensional seismic inversion, in preparation
- [4] Norton S G and Linzer M 1981 Ultrasonic scattering potential imaging in three dimensions: exact inverse scattering solutions for plane, cylindrical, and spherical apertures *IEEE Trans. Biomed. Eng.* BME-28 202–20
- [5] Beylkin G 1982 Generalized radon transform and its applications PhD Thesis New York University
- [6] Beylkin G 1984 The inversion problem and applications of the generalized Radon Transform Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 37 579–99
- [7] Beylkin G 1985 Imaging of discontinuities in the inverse scattering problem by inversion of a causal generalized Radon transform J. Math. Phys. 26 99–108
- [8] Beylkin G 1985 Reconstructing discontinuities in multidimensional inverse scattering problems: smooth errors vs small errors Appl. Opt. 24 4086–8
- [9] Miller D E, Oristaglio M and Beylkin G 1984 A new formalism and an old heuristic for seismic migration 54th Ann. Int. Mtg of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists Expanded abstracts, pp 704–7
- [10] Miller D E, Oristaglio M and Beylkin G 1987 A new slant on seismic imaging: migration and integral geometry *Geophysics* 52 943–64
- [11] Beylkin G, Oristaglio M and Miller D E 1985 Spatial resolution of migration algorithms Acoustical Imaging vol 14, ed A J Berkhout, J Ridder and L F van der Waal (New York: Plenum) pp 155–67
- [12] Rakesh 1988 A linearized inverse problem for the wave equation Commun. Part. Diff. Eq. 13 573-601
- Beylkin G and Burridge R 1990 Linearized inverse scattering problems in acoustics and elasticity Wave Motion 12 15–52
- [14] de Hoop M V, Burridge R, Spencer C and Miller D E 1994 Generalized radon transform/amplitude versus angle (GRT/AVA) migration/inversion in anisotropic media Proc. SPIE 2301 15–27
- [15] Spencer C and de Hoop M V 1995 Linearity, resolution, and covariance in GRT inversions for anisotropic elastic moduli *Proc. SPIE* 2571 110–22
- [16] Cohen J K and Bleistein N 1977 An inverse method for determining small variations in propagation speed SIAM J. Appl. Math. 32 784–99
- [17] Bleistein N and Cohen J K 1979 Velocity inversion for acoustic waves Geophysics 44 1077-87
- [18] Bleistein N 1987 On imaging of reflectors in the earth Geophysics 52 931-42
- [19] Bleistein N 1987 Kirchhoff inversion for reflector imaging and sound speed and density variations Deconvolution and Inversion: 1986 EAEG/SEG Workshop (Rome) ed M Worthington (Oxford: Blackwell Scientific) pp 305–20
- [20] de Hoop M V and Spencer C 1996 Quasi Monte-Carlo integration over  $S^2 \times S^2$  for migration  $\times$  inversion Inverse Problems 12 219–39
- [21] Kendall J-M, Guest W S and Thomson C J 1992 Ray-theory Green's function reciprocity and ray-centred coordinates in anisotropic media *Geophys. J. Int.* 108 364–71
- [22] Chester C, Friedman B and Ursell F 1959 An extension of the method of steepest descents Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 53 599–611
- [23] Hanyga A and Seredynska M 1991 Diffraction of pulses in the vicinity of simple caustics and caustic cusps Wave Motion 14 101-21
- [24] Hörmander L 1983 The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III (Berlin: Springer) ch XXI
- [25] de Hoop M V, Spencer C and Burridge R 1996 The resolving power of seismic amplitude data: an anisotropic inversion/migration approach *Geophysics* submitted